

BILL RICHARDSON Governor DIANE DENISH Lieutenant Governor

NEW MEXICO ENVIRONMENT DEPARTMENT

Surface Water Quality Bureau

Harold Runnels Building, N2050 1190 South St. Francis Drive (87505) P.O. Box 5469, Santa Fe, NM 87502-5469 Phone (505) 827-0187 Fax (505) 827-0160 www.nmenv.state.nm.us

RON CURRY
Secretary
SARAH COTTRELL
Deputy Secretary

CERTIFIED MAIL - Return Receipt Requested

August 13, 2010

Mr. John Arrowsmith, Utilities Director Los Alamos County Post Office Box 1030 Los Alamos, NM 87544

RE: Minor Municipal, SIC 4952, NPDES Compliance Evaluation Inspection, Los Alamos County, White Rock Wastewater Treatment Plant, NPDES Permit No. NM0020133 July 15, 2010

Dear Mr. Arrowsmith:

Enclosed, please find a copy of the report for the above referenced inspection that the New Mexico Environment Department (NMED) conducted at your facility on behalf of the U.S. Environment Protection Agency (USEPA). This inspection report will be sent to the USEPA in Dallas, TX for their review. These inspections are used by USEPA to determine compliance with the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permitting program in accordance with requirements of the federal Clean Water Act.

Problems noted during the inspection are discussed in the Further Explanation section of the inspection report. You are encouraged to review the inspection report, required to correct any problems noted during the inspection, and modify your operational and/or administrative procedures, as appropriate.

I wish to thank you for the cooperation that was extended by you and facility staff, Mr. Ayers, Mr. Martinez and Mr. Lucero. If you have questions please feel free to contact me at the above address or by telephone at (505) 827-0212.

Sincerely, /S/ Barbara Cooney Environmental Scientist – Specialist

Cc: Marcia Gail Bohling (6EN-AS), EPA, Dallas, TX via email Samuel Tates (6EN-AS), EPA, Dallas, TX via email Carol Peters-Wagnon (6EN-WM), EPA, Dallas, TX via email Diana McDonald (6EN-WM), EPA, Dallas, TX via email Larry Giglio (6WQ-P), Permits Branch, EPA, Dallas, TX , via email NMED District I, via email

Form Approved OMB No. 2040-0003 Approval Expires 7-31-85



NPDES Compliance Inspection Report

Section A: National Data System Coding																
	Transaction Code	yr/mo/day					Ins	Inspec. Type Inspector Fac Type								
1	N 2 5 3 N M 0	0	2 0 1	3 3	11 12		0	0	7	1	5	17	18	c	19 S 20 1	
	L O S A L A	c N	Rem T Y	arks	w	Н	I	Т	E	R	О	С	K W W T P			
_	Inspection Work Days		Facility Evaluation Ra	ating	BI	•	QA							Reserved		
	67 1 69		70 3		71 N	72	N	73			74	75	M	I	N O R 80	
				Sect	tion B: F	acility	Data									
POT Los	ne and Location of Facility Inspected (M name and NPDES permit number) Alamos County White Rock WWTP – on State Hwy 12.5 miles → NM 502 W	ry 285 N to th	amos	s 11:30 a.m. / July 15, 2010					03/0	mit Effective Date						
Turn Left of Rover Blvd → Turn Left on Meadow Lane → Turn Left on Overlook Road, WWTP is on the left. Exit Time/Date 14:30 p.m. / July 15, 2010												mit Expiration Date 8/2011				
	e(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Title						•						Oth	er Fac	ility Data	
Mr.	Jeff Ayers, Water –Wastewater Treatm Santiago Martinez – Operation Superv		ant Superintendent 50	15-662-8269									Mii	nor Municipal		
Mr.	Paul Lucero – Plant Operator												SIC	C 4952		
	ne, Address of Responsible Official/Ti												Lat	Latitude – 35° 49' 39.936"		
Depa	John Arrowsmith, Utility Director 50 artment of Public Utilities	JS-662	-8148							acted	_		Lor	Longitude – -106° 11' 5.964"		
	Drawer 1030 Alamos, NM 87544						Yes	L		No	X			-8		
			Se (S = Satisfacto	ection C: Area			_	-		Zvolno	tad)					
S	Permit	s	Flow Measurement		M		eration					1	N	CSO	/SSO	
s	Records/Reports	s	Self-Monitoring I		S	- 1	udge H					l	N		ntion Prevention	
s	Facility Site Review	N	Compliance Sched	lules							Multimedia					
S	Effluent/Receiving Waters	S	Laboratory		N Storm Water N				Other:							
			Section D: Summary	of Findings/	/Comme	nts (Att	tach ad	dition	al sh	eets if	neces	sary)				
Sec	Further Explanations For Details.															
Name(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector(s)					Agency/Office/Telephone/Fax								Date			
Barbara Cooney /S/				Agency/Off	nce/ i eie	pnone/	Lua									
Bark)		NMED/SW		pnone/	Lux							13 A	August 2010	
Bart)				pnone/								13 A		
					/QB			ımbe	rs					Dat	August 2010	

Los Alamos County, White Rock WWTP	PERMIT NO. NM0020133							
SECTION A - PERMIT VERIFICATION								
PERMIT SATISFACTORILY ADDRESSES OBSERVATIONS DETAILS: DETAILS:	XPLANATION ATTACHED <u>No</u>)							
1. CORRECT NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS OF PERMITTEE	⊠ y □ n □ na							
2. NOTIFICATION GIVEN TO EPA/STATE OF NEW DIFFERENT OR INCREASED DISCHARGES	□ y □ n ⊠ na							
3. NUMBER AND LOCATION OF DISCHARGE POINTS AS DESCRIBED IN PERMIT	⊠ Y □ N □ NA							
4. ALL DISCHARGES ARE PERMITTED	⊠ y □ n □ na							
SECTION B - RECORDKEEPING AND REPORTING EVALUATION								
RECORDS AND REPORTS MAINTAINED AS REQUIRED BY PERMIT. S S M U NA (FURTHER E DETAILS:	EXPLANATION ATTACHED <u>No</u>)							
1. ANALYTICAL RESULTS CONSISTENT WITH DATA REPORTED ON DMRs.	⊠ y □ n □ na							
2. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES DATA ADEQUATE AND INCLUDE.	⊠ S □ M □ U □ NA							
a) DATES, TIME(S) AND LOCATION(S) OF SAMPLING	⊠ Y □ N □ NA							
b) NAME OF INDIVIDUAL PERFORMING SAMPLING	⊠ Y □ N □ NA							
c) ANALYTICAL METHODS AND TECHNIQUES.	⊠ Y □ N □ NA							
d) RESULTS OF ANALYSES AND CALIBRATIONS.	⊠ Y □ N □ NA							
e) DATES AND TIMES OF ANALYSES.	⊠ y □ n □ na							
f) NAME OF PERSON(S) PERFORMING ANALYSES.	⊠ Y □ N □ NA							
3. LABORATORY EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE RECORDS ADEQUATE.	⊠s □m □u □na							
4. PLANT RECORDS INCLUDE SCHEDULES, DATES OF EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR.	⊠S □M □U □NA							
5. EFFLUENT LOADINGS CALCULATED USING DAILY EFFLUENT FLOW AND DAILY ANALYTICAL DATA.	⊠ y □ n □ na							
SECTION C - OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE								
TREATMENT FACILITY PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED. S M M U NA (FURTHER E DETAILS:	EXPLANATION ATTACHED <u>Yes</u>)							
1. TREATMENT UNITS PROPERLY OPERATED.	□ S 🗷 M □ U □ NA							
2. TREATMENT UNITS PROPERLY MAINTAINED.	□ S 🗷 M □ U □ NA							
3. STANDBY POWER OR OTHER EQUIVALENT PROVIDED.	⊠S □M □U □NA							
4. ADEQUATE ALARM SYSTEM FOR POWER OR EQUIPMENT FAILURES AVAILABLE.	□ S □ M 🗷 U □ NA							
5. ALL NEEDED TREATMENT UNITS IN SERVICE.	□ S 🗷 M □ U □ NA							
6. ADEQUATE NUMBER OF QUALIFIED OPERATORS PROVIDED.	□ S 🗷 M □ U □ NA							
7. SPARE PARTS AND SUPPLIES INVENTORY MAINTAINED.	⊠s □m □u □na							
8. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE MANUAL AVAILABLE.	ĭ Y □ N □ NA							
STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES AND SCHEDULES ESTABLISHED.	ĭ Y □ N □ NA							
PROCEDURES FOR EMERGENCY TREATMENT CONTROL ESTABLISHED.	ĭ Y □ N □ NA							

Los Alamos County White Rock	PERMIT NO. NM0020133
SECTION C - OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE (CONT'D)	
9. HAVE BYPASSES/OVERFLOWS OCCURRED AT THE PLANT OR IN THE COLLECTION SYSTEM IN THE LAST YEAR? IF SO, HAS THE REGULATORY AGENCY BEEN NOTIFIED? HAS CORRECTIVE ACTION BEEN TAKEN TO PREVENT ADDITIONAL BYPASSES/OVERFLOWS?	□ y ⊠ n □ na □ y □ n ⊠ na ⊠ y □ n □ na
10.HAVE ANY HYDRAULIC OVERLOADS OCCURRED AT THE TREATMENT PLANT? IF SO, DID PERMIT VIOLATIONS OCCUR AS A RESULT?	□ y ⊠ n □ na □ y ⊠ n □ na
SECTION D - SELF-MONITORING	
PERMITTEE SELF-MONITORING MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS. DETAILS: X S M U NA (FURTHER EXP.)	LANATION ATTACHED <u>No</u>).
1. SAMPLES TAKEN AT SITE(S) SPECIFIED IN PERMIT.	⊠ y □ n □ na
2. LOCATIONS ADEQUATE FOR REPRESENTATIVE SAMPLES.	🗷 y 🗌 n 🔲 na
3. FLOW PROPORTIONED SAMPLES OBTAINED WHEN REQUIRED BY PERMIT.	⊠ Y □ N □ NA
4. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES COMPLETED ON PARAMETERS SPECIFIED IN PERMIT.	X Y N N NA
5. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES PERFORMED AT FREQUENCY SPECIFIED IN PERMIT.	⊠ y □ n □ na
6. SAMPLE COLLECTION PROCEDURES ADEQUATE	⊠ y □ n □ na
a) SAMPLES REFRIGERATED DURING COMPOSITING.	⊠ y □ n □ na
b) PROPER PRESERVATION TECHNIQUES USED.	⊠ y □ n □ na
c) CONTAINERS AND SAMPLE HOLDING TIMES CONFORM TO 40 CFR 136.3.	⊠ y □ n □ na
7. IF MONITORING AND ANALYSES ARE PERFORMED MORE OFTEN THAN REQUIRED BY PERMIT, ARE THE RESULTS REPORTED IN PERMITTEE'S SELF-MONITORING REPORT?	□ y □ n ⊠ na
SECTION E - FLOW MEASUREMENT	
PERMITTEE FLOW MEASUREMENT MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS. Z S M U NA (FURTHER EXPLORMENTS) DETAILS:	ANATION ATTACHED No)
1. PRIMARY FLOW MEASUREMENT DEVICE PROPERLY INSTALLED AND MAINTAINED. TYPE OF DEVICE	⊠ Y □ N □ NA
2. FLOW MEASURED AT EACH OUTFALL AS REQUIRED.	ĭ y □ n □ na
3. SECONDARY INSTRUMENTS (TOTALIZERS, RECORDERS, ETC.) PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED.	X Y N N NA
4. CALIBRATION FREQUENCY ADEQUATE. (DATE OF LAST CALIBRATION July 13, 2009) The Ultrasonic flow meter is checked daily ag RECORDS MAINTAINED OF CALIBRATION PROCEDURES. CALIBRATION CHECKS DONE TO ASSURE CONTINUED COMPLIANCE.	ainst Staff Gauge ☑ Y ☐ N ☐ NA
5. FLOW ENTERING DEVICE WELL DISTRIBUTED ACROSS THE CHANNEL AND FREE OF TURBULENCE.	ĭ y □ n □ na
6. HEAD MEASURED AT PROPER LOCATION.	🛛 Y 🗌 N 🔲 NA
7. FLOW MEASUREMENT EQUIPMENT ADEQUATE TO HANDLE EXPECTED RANGE OF FLOW RATES.	🛛 Y 🗌 N 🔲 NA
SECTION F – LABORATORY	
PERMITTEE LABORATORY PROCEDURES MEET PERMIT REQUIREMENTS. Z S M U U NA (FURTHER EXPLIDETAILS:	ANATION ATTACHED <u>Yes</u>
1. EPA APPROVED ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES USED (40 CFR 136.3 FOR LIQUIDS, 503.8(b) FOR SLUDGES)	ĭ Y □ N □ NA

Los Alamos County	PERMIT NO. N	PERMIT NO. NM0020133									
SECTION F - LABORATORY (CONT'D)											
2. IF ALTERNATIVE	2. IF ALTERNATIVE ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES ARE USED, PROPER APPROVAL HAS BEEN OBTAINED ☐ Y ☐ N ☒ NA										
3. SATISFACTORY C	CALIBRATION AND MA	AINTENANCE OF INSTI	RUMENTS AND EQUIP	MENT.		⊠ ѕ□м□υ□] NA				
4. QUALITY CONTR	OL PROCEDURES ADE	EQUATE.				⊠ ѕ□м□υ□] NA				
5. DUPLICATE SAMI	4. QUALITY CONTROL PROCEDURES ADEQUATE. S. DUPLICATE SAMPLES ARE ANALYZED. 10 % OF THE TIME. ■ S □ M □ U □ NA NA										
6. SPIKED SAMPLES ARE ANALYZED % OF THE TIME. The Los Alamos Cnty Lab participates in the DMR QA study w/ spiked samples 1/year											
7. COMMERCIAL LA	7. COMMERCIAL LABORATORY USED.										
LAB NAME Hall Environmental Bio Aquatics											
LAB ADDRESS 4901 Hawkins, NE, Albuquerque, NM 87109 2501 Mayers Road, Suite 100, Carlton, TX 75006											
PARAMETERS PERFORMED BOD, TSS, E. coli Whole Effluent Toxicity											
SECTION G - EFFLUENT/RECEIVING WATERS OBSERVATIONS. SOLUTION S SOL											
OUTFALL NO.	OIL SHEEN	GREASE	TURBIDITY	VISIBLE FOAM	FLOAT SOL.	COLOR	OTHER				
001	No	No	Yes	No	No	Greenish-yellow					
RECEIVING WATER	OBSERVATIONS										
SECTION H - SLU	JDGE DISPOSAL										
SLUDGE DISPOSAL MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS. X S M U NA (FURTHER EXPLANATION ATTACHED Yes). DETAILS:											
1. SLUDGE MANAG	⊠ s □ m □ u □	Is □ M □ U □ NA									
1. SLUDGE MANAGEMENT ADEQUATE TO MAINTAIN EFFLUENT QUALITY. 2. SLUDGE RECORDS MAINTAINED AS REQUIRED BY 40 CFR 503. ✓ S ☐ M ☐ U ☐ NA											
3. FOR LAND APPLIED SLUDGE, TYPE OF LAND APPLIED TO:(e.g., FOREST, AGRICULTURAL, PUBLIC CONTACT SITE)											
SECTION I - SAMPLING INSPECTION PROCEDURES (FURTHER EXPLANATION ATTACHED No.).											
1. SAMPLES OBTAINED THIS INSPECTION. ☐ Y 🏿 N ☐ NA											
2. TYPE OF SAMPLI	E OBTAINED										
GRAB COMPOSITE SAMPLE METHOD FREQUENCY											
3. SAMPLES PRESERVED. □ Y □ N 🗷 NA											
4. FLOW PROPORTI	ONED SAMPLES OBTA	AINED.				\square Y \square N	X NA				
5. SAMPLE OBTAIN	ED FROM FACILITY'S	SAMPLING DEVICE.				\square Y \square N	X NA				
		IE AND MATURE OF D	ISCHARGE.			□y□n∣					
8. CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY PROCEDURES EMPLOYED. □ Y □ N ☑ NA 9. SAMPLES COLLECTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH PERMIT. □ Y □ N ☑ NA											

Town of White Rock WWTP NPDES Permit Number NM0020133 Compliance Evaluation Inspection State of New Mexico Surface Water Quality Bureau July15, 2010

INTRODUCTION

A Compliance Evaluation Inspection (CEI) was conducted at the Los Alamos County White Rock Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) by Ms. Barbara Cooney of the New Mexico Environment Department (NMED), Surface Water Quality Bureau (SWQB) on July 15, 2010. The inspection was conducted by NMED for the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), Region 6, under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit program, in accordance with the Federal Clean Water Act. These inspections are conducted under agreement with USEPA and are used by the USEPA to determine compliance with the NPDES permit program.

This facility is a minor municipal waste water treatment plant (WWTP) under the Federal Clean Water Act (CWA), section 402 National Pollutant Discharge Elimination system (NPDES) permit program, and is assigned NPDES permit number NM0020133. The Standard Industrial Classification Code (SIC) is 4952. The facility discharges to the ephemeral Canada del Buey in water quality segment 20.6.4.97 then approximately 1.5 miles down stream to water quality segment 20.6.4.114 of the Rio Grande Basin (*State of New Mexico Standards for Interstate and Intrastate Surface Waters*). The designated uses for the segment 20.6.4.97 are livestock watering, wildlife habitat, limited aquatic life and secondary contact. The designated uses for the segment 20.6.4.114 are irrigation, livestock watering, wildlife habitat, marginal coldwater aquatic life, primary contact and warmwater aquatic life.

INSPECTION DETAILS

The inspector arrived at the White Rock WWTP at 11:30 a.m. on July 15, 2010. She met with Mr. Jeff Ayers, Water-Wastewater Superintendent, Mr. Santiago Martinez, Operations Supervisor, and Mr. Paul Lucero, Plant Operator. The Inspector made introductions, showed her credentials and explained the purpose of the visit. Mr. Ayers and Mr. Martinez accompanied Ms. Cooney as she toured the WWTP. The Inspector was provided, at her request, all records of plant and laboratory activity for the month of March 2010 for a records review. An exit interview was held with Mr. Ayers, Mr. Martinez, and Mr. Lucero following the inspection. Los Alamos County Environmental Compliance Specialist, Mr. Pete Padilla and Utility Manager, Mr. John Arrowsmith were unavailable on the day of the inspection. The Inspector left the White Rock WWTP at 14:30 p.m.

The main area of concern is: "Operation and Maintenance" See the Further Explanations section of the report for details.

TREATMENT SCHEME

The collection system has eight lift stations that transport domestic sewage from residential neighborhoods to the WWTP. The raw sewage enters the WWTP through the Parshall Flume at the head works where a screw pump removes large solids. Those solids are collected in a dumpster then disposed of at the county landfill followed by the grit settling channel, and is removed via screw pump, collected in a dumpster and disposed of in a landfill. Following the grit chamber, is a comminutor grinder to remove large solids and rags. The wastewater can flow from the head works to parallel treatment trains of primary clarifiers to trickling filters, to secondary clarifiers. At the time of this inspection all the flow was being sent to a single

Town of White Rock WWTP NPDES Permit Number NM0020133 Compliance Evaluation Inspection State of New Mexico Surface Water Quality Bureau July15, 2010

clarifier. The second train of primary and secondary clarifiers was off line. All the flow was being routed through one primary clarifier, and the two trickling filters were being run in series not parallel. The flow from the second trickling filter goes to a single secondary clarifier. Past the secondary clarifier, a portion of the water is re-circulated back to the end of the head works to insure continuous flow to maintain the zooglea growth on the trickling filters media. The remaining treated water flows to the chlorine contact chamber for disinfection. That treatment process is followed by dechlorination with SO₂ before the water passes through final flow measurement devices and is discharge to the Canada Del Buey, The reuse water is drawn off before dechlorination and before the effluent flow meter.

SLUDGE

Solids are wasted from the primary and the secondary clarifier/s to an aerobic digester. Recirculated water and solid are sent back to a splitter box following the grit chamber at the headworks. The decant from the digester, and under drains from the sludge drying beds are also sent to the splitter box, where it mixes with the influent. From the digester, solids are sent to the sludge drying beds. Final disposal of solids are to a composting site at the Los Alamos County Landfill and soon to be a composting site at the Los Alamos Bayo site.

FURTHER EXPLANATIONS

Note: The sections are arranged according to the format of the enclosed EPA Inspection Checklist (Form 3560-3), rather than being ranked in order of importance.

Section A – Permit Verification – Overall Rating of "Satisfactory"

Section B – Record Keeping and Reporting – Overall Rating of "Satisfactory"

Section C - Operation and Maintenance – Overall Rating of "Marginal"

Permit Requirements For Operation and Maintenance

The permit requires in Part III.3. PROPER OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE:

a. The permittee shall properly and maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control (and appurtenances) which are installed or used by permittee as efficiently as possible and in a manner which will minimize upsets and discharges of excessive pollutants and will achieve compliance with the conditions of this permit. Proper operations and maintenance also includes adequate laboratory controls and appropriate quality assurance procedures. This provision requires the operation of backup or auxiliary facilities or similar systems which are installed by a permittee only when the operation is necessary to achieve compliance with the conditions of this permit.

Findings For Operation and Maintenance:

1. According to Mr. Ayers, there are not enough operational staff to cover laboratory sampling and analysis on weekends. During the work week, the parks department must discontinue reuse of the treated wastewater, to allow a continuous flow of effluent to discharge to the Canada Del Buey, in order for the facility to collect it's 24 hour composite sampling. If enough operational staff were available at the treatment plant on

Town of White Rock WWTP NPDES Permit Number NM0020133 Compliance Evaluation Inspection State of New Mexico Surface Water Quality Bureau July15, 2010

weekends, the parks department could take reuse water as needed and the sampling/laboratory analysis could be done on weekends.

- 2. The trickling filters are currently being run in series instead of in parallel. The zooglea slime growth was spotty and not consistent, with patches of bare media in the first trickling filter and with even less cover in the second trickling filter. The media had an estimated 75% cover of growth in the second filter.
- 3. This treatment plant is nearly 30 years old. The three return flow pumps that send RAS back to the head of the plant are very worn, and are run continuously with out resting. They are leaking oil. The concrete throughout the plant is showing age, with cracks and raveling throughout. Vegetation/weeds were noted to be growing in cracks along the chlorine contact chamber, suggesting that water is leaking out of the unit.
- 4. There is no alarm system at the treatment plant or at the headworks. Past the headworks the plant is gravity flow. However if there were any overnight failures at the plant, no alarm is available.
- 5. Improvements have been made in the collections system lift stations. The lift stations in the past had been problematic with unauthorized overflow pipes discharging between residential houses and over the edge of the mesa where White Rock is located. These overflow pipes have been removed. In addition, the alarm system for the lift stations has been upgraded from simple flashing lights, to a SCADA system that phones central dispatch so operators can respond more quickly to any problems.
- 6. Solids handling has been stepped up at the treatment plant, since the last inspection. Operators are wasting solids daily. There was no sludge blanket found at secondary clarifiers. The more aggressive solids wasting may be impacting the available food necessary to maintain a healthy zooglea growth in the trickling filters. Plant Operators stated however, that aggressive solids wasting and running the trickling filters in series rather than in parallel improves overall plant operation and the quality of the effluent. It is noted that no effluent exceedences have been reported on the DMRs since the last inspection.

Section D – Self Monitoring – Overall Rating of "Satisfactory"

Section E – Flow Measurements – Overall Rating of "Satisfactory"

Section F - Laboratory - Overall Rating of "Satisfactory"

Findings For Laboratory:

The pH buffers being used at the outfall and according to Mr. Ayers were only used for operational control readings and not for effluent NPDES reporting, were in bottles with expired expiration dates. Mr. Ayers said the bottles were just being refilled with fresh buffers. If this is the case, the correct information should be hand written on the bottles.

Section G - Effluent and Receiving Water - Overall Rating "Satisfactory"

Section H - Sludge Disposal - Overall Rating of "Satisfactory"